RESEARCH ARTICLE

Development of a Gene-based Marker for the *non-ripening (nor)* Gene in Cultivated Tomato

Thim Thi Nguyen¹ and Sung-Chur Sim^{1,2*}

¹Department of Bioresources Engineering, Sejong University, Seoul 05006, Korea ²Plant Engineering Research Institute, Sejong University, Seoul 05006, Korea

*Corresponding author: sungchur@sejong.ac.kr

Abstract

Long shelf-life is an important trait for providing fresh fruits to consumers in cultivated tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) The molecular mechanism of fruit ripening in tomato was previously defined by identifying several genes associated with abnormal ripening. Of these genes, *non-ripening (nor)* encodes a member of NAC domain family transcription factors that is important for diverse physiological processes, including fruit ripening. In this study, we investigated sequence variations associated with the *nor* mutation to develop a gene-based marker. Two varieties of *S. lycopersicum* with representative *nor* mutants (LA1793 and LA3013) and a wild-type variety (San Marzano) were used for sequence analysis of the *nor* gene. A total of eight primer sets were designed to sequence the full length of *nor* gene including a 2.8 kb open reading frame (three exons and two introns) as well as 2.0 kb region upstream from the start codon. Analysis of the 4.8 kb sequence for the *nor* gene found a 2 bp insertion and deletion (InDel) in the longest exon (725 bp) that results in a frame-shift mutation in the two mutant varieties. This InDel was used to genotype a collection of additional 81 varieties consisting of 30 inbred and 51 commercial F_1 hybrid varieties. Of these varieties, two inbred and one F_1 hybrid varieties had *nor* mutations that were in homozygous or heterozygous forms. These results will be useful for marker-assisted selection (MAS) for improving long shelf-life and quality of fruit in tomato breeding programs.

Additional key words: fruit ripening, insertion and deletion, long self-life, marker-assisted selection, vegetable

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most widely consumed fresh fruit vegetables in the world. Tomato fruits make a significant contribution to human nutrition due to their abundant vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants (Raiola et al., 2014; Perveen et al., 2015). As a climacteric and perishable vegetable, tomato fruit has a relatively short life after ripening due to biological processes affecting fruit quality during the postharvest stage (Zapata et al., 2008). The quality and nutritional value of ripening fruit are greatly reduced by postharvest handling and storage practices (Sablani et al., 2006), with a loss of fresh

Received: July 5, 2017 Revised: July 13, 2017 Accepted: July 14, 2017





HORTICULTURAL SCIENCE and TECHNOLOGY 35(5):618-627, 2017 URL: http://www.kjhst.org

pISSN : 1226-8763 eISSN : 2465-8588

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright©2017 Korean Society for Horticultural Science.

This work was supported by the Next Generation BioGreen 21 Program (Project No. PJ01185703) and the National Agricultural Genome Program (Project No. PJ01043804), Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea to S.Sim. tomato fruit that can be as much as 45.32% (Kasso and Bekele, 2016). Therefore, a number of strategies have been developed to improve fruit quality and shelf-life such as refrigeration, heat treatment, modified atmosphere packaging (MAP), and 1-methylcyclopropent (1-MCP) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) application (Arah et al., 2016). Although effective in limiting postharvest losses, these methods can be costly and time-consuming, especially for small farms (Hoering, 2012). The use of ripening mutant genes, however, eliminates these disadvantages and is an effective strategy in tomato breeding programs.

Several tomato ripening genes have been reported including *ripening-inhibitor(rin)* (Robinson and Tomes, 1968; Vrebalov et al., 2002), *non-ripening(nor)* (Tigchelaar et al., 1973), *Colorless non-ripening(Cnr)* (Thompson et al., 1999; Eriksson et al., 2004; Manning et al., 2006), *Green-ripe* (*Gr*) (Kerr, 1958; Barry and Giovannoni, 2006), *Never-ripe* (*Nr*) (Lanahan et al., 1994), and *alcobaca(alc)* (Kopeliovitch et al., 1981). These genes participate independently and cooperatively in the fruit ripening process. For example, the *rin* mutation produces a partially deleted MADS-box protein that is a ripening-specific transcription factor and results in effectively blocking the fruit ripening process leading to green fruits (Vrebalov et al., 2002). The heterozygous mutation of the *rin* gene (*rin/Rin*) is often used to produce slow ripening and long shelf-life tomatoes (Giovannoni, 2007). Similarly, the mutation in the *nor* gene encoding a NAC transcription factor fails to initiate the normal ripening process and results in fruits that do not soften (Lincoln and Fischer, 1988; Giovannoni, 2004). The *nor* gene can be also used in the heterozygous form (*nor/Nor*) to improve shelf-life of tomato fruit. The NAC transcription factor family is important for diverse physiological process during development including stress response, flowering, and senescence (Martel et al., 2011). The *nor* mutation enhances disease resistance by up-regulating the expression of several genes associated with defense mechanisms that biotic stress, including the resistance of tomato fruit to *Botrytis cinerea* (Cantu et al., 2009).

Conventional plant breeding based on phenotypic selection is generally labor-intensive and time-consuming. Conversely, the use of molecular markers associated with traits of interest can be a cost-effective and accurate option to develop new elite varieties (Phan and Sim, 2017). Recent advances in next-generation sequencing technology have facilitated association mapping with genome-wide molecular markers such as single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and insertion and deletion (InDel). These efforts have led to the discovery of a number of markers associated with major genes and quantitative trait loci (QTL) (Truong et al., 2015; Devran et al., 2016; Veerappan et al., 2016). However, these markers are not always effective for breeding populations due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay. Gene-based markers can be more practical relative to the gene-associated markers for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in breeding programs. Although the molecular and biochemical basis of fruit ripening has been intensively studied in tomato, molecular markers for breeding have been less utilized in comparison to other traits such as disease resistance. Recently, a sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR)marker was developed for the *rim*mutation (Kim et al., 2013); however, few studies have developed molecular markers for the *nor* mutation, which is more effective for long shelf-life relative to the *rin* gene (Ng, 1976). Thus, the objectives of our study were to 1) detect sequence variations between the *nor* mutant and wild type varieties, 2) develop a gene-based marker for MAS to improve tomato fruit quality and long shelf-life, and 3) investigate the *nor* mutation in a collection of 81 inbred and commercial F₁ hybrid varieties based on our gene-based marker.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

Three tomato accessions, 'San Marzano' (wild-type allele, *Nor/Nor*), 'LA1793', and 'LA3013' (both mutant alleles, *nor/nor*) were provided by the C.M.Rick Tomato Genetics Resource Center at University of California, Davis, CA and used to investigate sequence variation in the *nor*gene. In addition, we used 81 other varieties to validate the resulting *nor* sequence variation(s). This germplasm panel consisted of 30 inbred and 51 commercial F₁ hybrid varieties from public and private breeding programs in South Korea. The inbred varieties were collected from the National Agrobiodiveristy Center (20 varieties) and National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science (10 varieties) at Rural Development Administration (RDA). For the F₁ hybrids, 51 varieties were derived from Bunong Seed (10 varieties), Monsanto-Korea (nine varieties), Nongwoo Bio (six varieties), Syngenta-Korea (five varieties), Takki-Korea, PPS (three varieties from each company), Jinheung Seed, NH-Nonghyup, Koregon, Asian Seed, and Konong (two varieties from each company); and the rest five varieties were from Sky Seed, Sakata-Korea, Dongwon Nongsan, Hyeondae Seed, and Gana Seed.

DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves from seedlings using the CTAB method with minor modifications (Kabelka et al., 2002). Young leaf tissue was placed in a 2.0 mL micro-centrifuge tube containing 350 μ L DNA extraction-lysis buffer and ground in a TissueLyser II (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) at 300 strokes per minute for 5 min. After incubation at 65°C for 20 min, 350 μ L of chloroform: isoamyl (24:1) was added and then centrifuged at 5,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was separated and mixed with 200 μ L ice cold isopropanol, keep stable at 4°C for 1 h, followed by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 15 min. The DNA pellet was rinsed twice with 70% ethanol, dried at room temperature, and re-suspended in 100 mL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8,0,0.1 mM EDTA). The quantity and quality of DNA sample were measured using agarose gel electrophoresis and diluted to 50 ng $\cdot \mu$ L⁻¹ for PCR amplification and high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis.

Sequencing the nor Gene and Polymorphism Detection

The full length of the *nor* gene (SGN Gene ID: Solyc10g006880) was retrieved from the tomato reference genome assembly version 2,50 (Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012; https://www.solgenomics.net). A set of primers was designed to amplify all of the exons and introns as well as 2 kb of the upstream region from the start codon using the Primer3Plus version 2,4.0 (Untergasser et al., 2012). PCR amplification was performed in a total reaction volume of 50 μ L that contained 50-100 ng genomic DNA, 0,25 μ M each primer, 0,2 mM dNTPs, 1X PCR buffer, and 0,5 U DNA *Taq* polymerase (Geneslabs, Seongnam, Korea). The amplification profile consisted of an initial denaturation for 3 min at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at an selected annealing temperature (50°C to 60°C depending on the melting temperature of primers), 45 s at 72°C, and one cycle of 7 min at 72°C as a final extension step. The amplified product was separated on a 1,5% agarose gel containing 1X RedSafeTM Nucleic Acid Staining Solution (20,000X) (JH Science, Lynnwood, WA, USA) in 1X TBE at 200 V for 1 h. The target DNA was collected and purified using the MinElute[®] Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and resulted in samples that were used for Sanger sequencing (Cosmogenetech, Seoul, Korea). The resulting DNA sequences were trimmed and aligned using the Staden Package software (Bonfield et al., 1995) and Clustal Omega tool (http://

www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). Sequence variations between the mutants ('LA1793' and 'LA3013') and wild-type ('San Marzano') varieties were identified by visual inspection of the sequence alignment.

High-resolution Melting Analysis in the Germplasm Collection

The primers for high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis were designed to produce an expected product size between 100-300 bp using the Primer3Plus version 2.4.0. The primer sequences were then analyzed using In Silico PCR at the SOL Genomic Network (<u>https://solgenomics.net/tools/in_silico_pcr</u>)to detect any possible unspecific products, ensuring the PCR amplification efficiency and HRM accuracy. HRM analysis was performed in a total volume of 20 µL using the LightCyler96 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The reaction mixture contained 50 ng qualified genomic DNA, 0.25 µM forward and reverse primers, and LightCycler[®] 480 HRM Master Mix 1X (Roche Diagnostics). The amplification was achieved by the following the protocol: 10 min initial denaturation at 95°C, 45 cycles of 10 s for denaturation at 95°C, 15 s at 55°C, 15 s at 72°C, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, 50°C for 10 s, 50°C for 50 s, 97°C for 1 s, with HRM ramping between 50°C and 97°C at 2.2°C/s increments.

Results and Discussion

Discovery of Sequence Variation in the nor Gene in Tomato

The *nor*gene originated from a spontaneous mutation in tomato on the short arm of chromosome 10 (Giovannoni et al., 1995). This gene has an open reading frame (ORF) consisting of three exons (356,314, and 725 bp) and two introns (629 and 779 bp) (Fig. 1). Our eight primer sets produced PCR amplicons between 482-810 bp for the upstream and ORF regions of the *nor* gene in the two mutants 'LA1793' and 'LA3013', and a wild type 'San Marzano'. The 4.8 kb sequences (2.0 kb upstream and 2.8 kb ORF) were generated from these amplicons and aligned to identify single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and/or insertion and deletion (InDel). We detected a 2 bp InDel (AA/–) within the third exon, which was the longest exon of the *nor*gene (Fig. 2). This InDel causes a frame-shift that results in change of the polypeptide sequence from the glutamine at the amino acid 183 (Fig. 3). This mutation is the only sequence variation associated with fruit ripening in the *nor*gene. The *rin* mutation is also derived from a frame-shift in a MADS-box gene of the SEPELATTA clade (Hileman et al., 2006). For the *Cnr* gene, however, mutant phenotype is due to a spontaneous epigenetic change in the SBP-box (SQUAMODA promoter binding protein-like) promoter (Manning et al., 2006). The molecular mechanism of the *nor*gene for regulating fruit ripening still remains unclear compared to the *rin* and *Cnr* genes in tomato. Therefore, the InDel identified in our study can be useful to elucidate the *nor*gene function in the ripening process.





Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	tcttactccacactattttatttatttttttgca gct agatgattgggttttatgtcga tcttactccacactatttatttatttttttgca gct agatgattgggttttatgtcga tcttactccacactatttatttatttttttgca gct agatgattgggttttatgtcga tcttactccacactatttatttattttttttgca gct agatgattgggttt tatgtcga
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	atttacaagaagaataacaacaaaggtccatagatgattgcatgatatgttgggatcg atttacaagaagaataacaacaaaggtccatagatgattgcatgatatgttgggatcg atttacaagaagaataacaacaggtccatagatgattgcatgatatgttgggatcg atttacaagaagaataacaacaggtccatagatgattgcatgatatgttgggatcg ******************
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	ataccacaaaatgtaccaaattcaatattacaaggaataaagcettcaaactatggtaca ataccacaaaatgtaccaaattcaatattacaaggaataaagcettcaaactatggtaca ataccacaaaatgtaccaaattcaatattacaaggaataaagcettcaaactatggtaca ataccacaaaatgtaccaaattcaatattacaaggaataaagcettcaaactatggtaca ***********************************
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	atattgctcgaaaatgaatcgaatatgtacgatggaattatgaataacacgaacga
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	atcaacaataataatagatccattccacaaatatcgtcaaagagaacgatgcatggaggt atcaacaataataatagatccattccacaaatatcgtcaaagagaacgatgcatggaggt atcaacaataatagatccattccacaaatatcgtcaaagagaacgatgcatggaggt atcaacaataatagatccattccacaaatatcgtcaaagagaacgatgcatggaggt

Fig. 2. Sequence alignment of part of the 3rd exon of the *nor* gene between wild-type (Heinz 1706 and San Marzano) and mutant (LA1793 and LA3013) varieties. The "*" indicates identical nucleotides between these sequences. The 1st codon of the 3rd exon is indicated with bold letters and underlined. The gray boxes represent the HRM primer sequences.

Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	MESTDS STGTRHQ PQL PPGFRFH PT DEE LIVHYLKKRVAGAPI PVDIIGE IDLYKFDPWE MESTDS STGTRHQ PQL PPGFRFH PT DEE LIVHYLKKRVAGAPI PVDIIGE IDLYKFDPWE MESTDS STGTRHQ PQL PPGFRFH PT DEE LIVHYLKKRVAGAPI PVDIIGE IDLYKFDPWE MESTDS STGTRHQ PQL PPGFRFH PT DEE LIVHYLKKRVAGAPI PVDIIGE IDLYKFDPWE
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	LPAKAI FGEQEWFFFS PRDRKYPNGARPNRAAT SGYWKAT GTDKPVFT SGGTQ KVGVKKA LPAKAI FGEQEWFFFS PRDRKYPNGARPNRAAT SGYWKAT GTDKPVFT SGGTQ KVGVKKA LPAKAI FGEQEWFFFS PRDRKYPNGARPNRAAT SGYWKAT GTDKPVFT SGGTQ KVGVKKA LPAKAI FGEQEWFFFS PRDRKYPNGARPNRAAT SGYWKAT GTDKPVFT SGGTQ KVGVKKA
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	LVFYGG KPPKGVKTNWIMHEYRVVENKTNN KPLGCDNIVANKKGSLRLDDWVLCRIYKKN LVFYGG KPPKGVKTNWIMHEYRVVENKTNN KPLGCDNIVANKKGSLRLDDWVLCRIYKKN LVFYGG KPPKGVKTNWIMHEYRVVENKTNN KPLGCDNIVANKKGSLRLDDWVLCRIYKKN LVFYGG KPPKGVKTNWIMHEYRVVENKTNN KPLGCDNIVANKKGSLRLDDWVLCRIYKKN
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	NTQRSIDDLHDMLGSIPQNVPNSILQGIK-PSNYGTILLENESNMYDGIMNNTN NTQRSIDDLHDMLGSIPQNVPNSILQGIK-PSNYGTILLENESNMYDGIMNNTN NTQVHRFAYVGIDTTKCTKFNITRNKAFKLWYNIARKIEYVRWNYEHERY NTQVHRFAYVGIDTTKCTKFNITRNKAFKLWYNIARKIEYVRWNYEHERY *** * :* : * :*
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	DIINNNNRSIPQISSKRTMHGGLYWNNDEATTTTTTIDRNHSPNTKRFLVENNEDDGL-N DIINNNNRSIPQISSKRTMHGGLYWNNDEATTTTTTIDRNHSPNTKRFLVENNEDDGL-N YQQIHSTNIVKENDAWRFVLERRSNNNNNN YQQIHSTNIVKENDAWRFVLERRSNNNNNN ** * :: . : **::*
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	MNN ISRITNHEQSSSIAN FLSQFPONPS IQQQQQQEEVLGSLNDGVV MNN ISRITNHEQSSSIAN FLSQFPONPS IQQQQQQEEVLGSLNDGVV YEPFSKYKKVPCEQRGRWTHEYFANYKSTKLHCQFPEPVSSKSFDST YEPFSKYKKVPCEQRGRWTHEYFANYKSTKLHCQFPEPVSSKSFDST : :* :: .::.
Heinz1706 San Marzano LA1793 LA3013	FRQPYNQVTGMNWYS FRQPYNQVTGMNWYS TTTTTRRSIGISWGRLSTTLSSYWHELVLL TTTTTRRSIGISWGRLSTTLSSYWHELVLL .: *:.*

Fig. 3. Amino acid sequence alignment for the *nor* gene between wild-type (Heinz 1706 and San Marzano) and mutant (LA1793 and LA3013) varieties. The "*" indicates identical amino acid. The ":", ".", and no mark indicate high, low, and no similarity in the individual amino acids, respectively.

Region	Primer name	Primer sequence $(5' - 3)^2$	Tm ^y (°C)	PCR product size (bp)	
	mor 1	F: ACGTATCGCGAGGATTCATC	57	741	
2.0 kb upstream sequence	nor-1	R: CGTTATTAGGCTTTGAGAAGGAC	57	/41	
	nor-2	F: GCTAATGACGACCTCCACTTC	59	810	
		R: TTGTGTGGACATACTTTGATCG	59		
	nor-3 F: . R:	F: ACCACAAGAATCTCAAGACATG	56	790	
		R: CGTAGTACGAGGTTGATAAATTCG	57	/80	
2.8 kb open reading frame sequence	nor-4	F: GTTTATCATTTTCTCTCTCTCCC	54	492	
		R: AATTAGCAACGAAATTCGTC	CGAAATTCGTC 55		
	nor-5	F: CAGCGATGAACAATTATTGTGTC	55	()1	
		R: AGGATATTTTCTATCTCTTGGAC	53	021	
	nor-6	F: CTTCTTATCATGTGTTTAACGC	55	666	
		R: CCTCATATTAACCACGCGTA	56	000	
	nor-7	F: TACGAAATAGCCGAAAGAGGT		58	710
		R: TCGATCCCAACATATCATGCA	62	/18	
	nor-8	F: TTTGCAGCTAGATGATTGGG	59	(20)	
		R: ATCGATTGATTTTACAGGGCTA	58	020	

Table 1. The eight primer sets used to amplify the nor gene

^zF and R indicate forward and reverse primers, respectively.

^yTm = melting temperature.

Gene-based Marker Development and Genotyping in a Collection of Tomato Varieties

Based on the InDel in the 3rd exon, a primer set for a nor-InDel marker (forward: 5'-TGCAGCTAGATGATTGGGTTT-3', reverse; 5'-ACCTCCATGCATCGTTCTCT-3') was designed for HRM analysis. This primer set produced a 269 bp amplicon at an annealing temperature of 55°C and was used to genotype a collection of 83 tomato varieties including 'LA1793' and 'San Marzano'. The InDel marker clearly differentiated the mutant allele (nor) from the wild type allele (Nor) (Table 2). Among these varieties, the homozygous form of nor was found in the two inbreds, '14KT-5-24' and '14KT-6-10' derived from National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science (NIHHS) at Rural Development Administration (RDA). Among the 51 commercial F, hybrids, 'Gayachal Plus' from Syngenta-Korea had the heterozygous mutation (nor/Nor) (Table 2). The '14KT-5-24' variety produces yellow fruit, whereas the '14KT-6-10' and 'Gayachal Plus' varieties have pink fruit. Since abnormally ripened fruits that are green or yellow in color and common in the homozygous nor mutants (Tigchelaar et al., 1973), it was unexpected that the '14KT-6-10' variety has the nor/nor genotype. Although the '14KT-6-10' variety produces pink fruit, its firmer relative to wild type varieties with normally ripened fruit, which is similar to the other inbred '14KT-5-24' variety. Fruit firmness is an important factor affecting storage period and fruit quality after harvesting (Batu, 2004; De Ketelaere et al., 2004). This trait is mainly enhanced by cellulose and its cross-linkage with hemicellulose, pectin, and lignin (Vicente et al., 2007). The two cellulose synthases are more abundant in the nor mutant relative to a wild-type, indicating that the nor mutation has a positive effect to improve fruit firmness (Seymour et al., 2013). Tomato varieties with the heterozygous form of nor recovers normal fruit color but enhances fruit firmness. Thus, the heterozygous genotype is favorable for improving fruit quality and shelf-life in elite tomato varieties. Our result supports the effect of the normutation on fruit firmness and demonstrates that the nor-InDel marker is effective in detecting the normutation.

One of the main challenges in the tomato industry is to deliver fresh fruits of high quality (e.g. desirable taste, texture, and color). Fruit ripening is a complex process that involves numerous physiological and biochemical changes in color, carotenoid

No.	Variety	Class	Source ^z	nor genotype ^y
1	LA1793	Inbred	TGRC	nor/nor
2	San Marzano	Inbred	TGRC	Nor/Nor
3	Cast Mart	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
4	L385Dwart	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
5	KA608-79L10743-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
6	Serersanum	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
7	Coloumbia	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
8	Lucky Tm	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
9	Leningradski	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
10	Rougede Marmade	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
11	Jubi	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
12	L251	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
13	C125F2	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
14	Daehyungboksu	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
15	Heungjin 101	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
16	Heungjin 10	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
17	84-4-428-2-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
18	82-4-124-1-1-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
19	82-4-65-1-1-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
20	79-4-1-1-3-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
21	77-4-25-1-2-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
22	75-4-22-1-1-1-1	Inbred	NAC	Nor/Nor
23	14KT-6-5	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
24	14KT-7-6	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
25	14KT-8-1	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
26	14KT-11-7	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
27	AVTO1458	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
28	14KT-5-24	Inbred	NIHHS	nor/nor
29	14KT-6-10	Inbred	NIHHS	nor/nor
30	14KT-9-4	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
31	14KT-9-20	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
32	15TG112	Inbred	NIHHS	Nor/Nor
33	Seowang	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
34	SV0244TG	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
35	Bacchus	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
36	Styx TY	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
37	Rafito	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
38	Olleh TY	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
39	Poseidon	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto Korea	Nor/Nor
40	Shincheonggang	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
41	Unicom	F ₁ hybrid	Monsanto-Korea	Nor/Nor
42	Masecala	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
43	TY Escot	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
44	Black Eagle	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
45	All Keeper	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
46	Kstar	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
47	Gold Sugar	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
48	Vitamini	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor

Table 2. Genotypes of 83 tomato varieties via high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis using the gene-based marker developed for the nor gene

Table 2. continued

No.	Variety	Class	Source ^z	nor genotype ^y
49	TY Candy	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
50	Nice Gold	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
51	TY Endorphin	F ₁ hybrid	Bunong Seed	Nor/Nor
52	TY Altorang	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
53	Sun Globe	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
54	TY Sense Q	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
55	Mini Maru	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
56	Red Pang	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
57	13T510	F ₁ hybrid	Nongwoo Bio	Nor/Nor
58	Madison	F ₁ hybrid	Syngenta-Korea	Nor/Nor
59	Dafnis	F ₁ hybrid	Syngenta-Korea	Nor/Nor
60	Gayachal Plus	F ₁ hybrid	Syngenta-Korea	nor/Nor
61	TP-7 Plus	F ₁ hybrid	Syngenta-Korea	Nor/Nor
62	Landolino	F ₁ hybrid	Syngenta-Korea	Nor/Nor
63	Dotaerang Dia	F ₁ hybrid	Takki-Korea	Nor/Nor
64	Dotaerang Master	F ₁ hybrid	Takki-Korea	Nor/Nor
65	TY Smartsama	F ₁ hybrid	Takki-Korea	Nor/Nor
66	Prime Alexander	F ₁ hybrid	PPS	Nor/Nor
67	Beta Tiny	F ₁ hybrid	PPS	Nor/Nor
68	Tiara	F ₁ hybrid	PPS	Nor/Nor
69	Sweety Redggoma	F ₁ hybrid	Jinheung Seed	Nor/Nor
70	Blackrich	F ₁ hybrid	Jinheung Seed	Nor/Nor
71	Super Ace	F ₁ hybrid	NH-Nonghyup	Nor/Nor
72	Ruby Ball	F ₁ hybrid	NH-Nonghyup	Nor/Nor
73	Super Dotaerang	F ₁ hybrid	Koregon	Nor/Nor
74	B Blocking	F ₁ hybrid	Koregon	Nor/Nor
75	Sugar Red	F ₁ hybrid	Asian Seed	Nor/Nor
76	Sugar Yellow	F ₁ hybrid	Asian Seed	Nor/Nor
77	Yoyo captain	F ₁ hybrid	Konong	Nor/Nor
78	Yoyo	F ₁ hybrid	Konong	Nor/Nor
79	TY Miracle	F ₁ hybrid	Sky Seed	Nor/Nor
80	Rokusanmaru	F ₁ hybrid	Sakata-Korea	Nor/Nor
81	Venus	F ₁ hybrid	Dongwon Nongsan	Nor/Nor
82	Honggwang	F ₁ hybrid	Hyeondae Seed	Nor/Nor
83	Jicored	F ₁ hybrid	Gana Seed	Nor/Nor

²The inbred and F₁ hybrid varieties were collected from TGRC (Tomato Genetics Resource Center), NAC (National Agrobiodiversity Center), NIHHS (National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science), and seed companies.

^yNor indicates the wild-type allele and nor indicates the mutant allele for the non-ripening (nor) gene.

biosynthesis (Pék and Helyes, 2010), sugar content (Davies and Kempton, 1975), firmness, and pathogen resistance (Kramer et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2017). Therefore, the regulation of the ripening process is central to improve fruit quality in tomato. Many efforts have been made in the genetic dissection of the ripening process and a number of ripening genes have been identified. Of these genes, spontaneous frame-shift mutations in the *rin* and *nor* genes exhibit similar phenotypes in fruit ripening. The individuals that are heterozygous at these loci recover normal color and have firmer fruit relative to plants that are homozygous form of wild type alleles. With this knowledge, the use of these mutations has helped to develop elite tomato varieties in breeding programs. Among the 81 tomato varieties used in this study, only two inbred and one F₁ hybrid varieties have homozygous or

heterozygous forms of the *nor* mutation, suggesting that this mutation is not widely used in tomato breeding programs. This may be due to a lack of an efficient molecular tool to facilitate introgression of this mutation into elite breeding lines.

Selection based on phenotypes often requires intensive field evaluations to eliminate environmental variation. Markerassisted selection (MAS) is an effective approach that can accelerate plant breeding because breeding lines with favorable alleles are selected based on their genotypes, which are neutral for environmental variation. The molecular mechanism of *rin* in the ripening process have been studied more relative to *nor* (Vrebalov et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 2016), and the SCAR marker associated with the *rin* mutation was also developed for MAS (Kim et al., 2013). In the present study, an InDel responsible for a frame-shift mutation was detected in the *nor* gene and used to develop a gene-based marker that can be applied to MAS for the identification of this mutation. With this marker, we determined the genotype of *nor* in a collection of 81 tomato varieties representing 30 inbreds and 51 commercial F_1 hybrids. Our results benefit the tomato research community, particularly breeders, by utilizing MAS for improving shelf-life and quality in tomato fruits.

Literature Cited

- Arah IK, Ahorbo GK, Anku EK, Kumah EK, Amaglo H (2016) Postharvest handling practices and treatment methods for tomato handlers in developing countries: A mini review. Adv Agric 2016:1-8. doi:10.1155/2016/6436945
- Barry CS, Giovannoni JJ (2006) Ripening in the tomato *Green-ripe* mutant is inhibited by ectopic expression of a protein that disrupts ethylene signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:7923-7928. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602319103
- Batu A (2004) Determination of acceptable firmness and colour values of tomatoes. J Food Eng 61:471-475. doi:10.1016 /s0260-8774(03)00141-9
- Bonfield JK, Smith KF, Staden R (1995) A new DNA sequence assembly program. Nucleic Acids Res 23:4992-4999
- Cantu D, Blanco-Ulate B, Yang L, Labavitch JM, Bennett AB, Powell AL (2009) Ripening-regulated susceptibility of tomato fruit to *Botrytis cinerea* requires *NOR* but not *RIN* or ethylene. Plant Physiol 150:1434-1449. doi:10.1104/pp.109.138701
- Davies JN, Kempton RJ (1975) Changes in the individual sugars of tomato fruit during ripening. J Sci Food Agric 26:1103-1110
- De Ketelaere B, Lammertyn J, Molenberghs G, Desmet M, Nicolar B, De Baerdemaeker J (2004) Tomato cultivar grouping based on firmness change, shelf life and variance during postharvest storage. Postharvest Biol Technol 34:187-201. doi:10.1016/ j.postharvbio.2004.03.007
- Devran Z, Göknur A, Mesci L (2016) D evelopment of molecular markers for the *Mi-1* gene in tomato using the KASP genotyping assay. Hortic Environ Biotechnol 57:156-160. doi:10.1007/s13580-016-0028-6
- Eriksson EM, Bovy A, Manning K, Harrison L, Andrews J, De Silva J, Tucker GA, Seymour GB (2004) Effect of the *Colorless non*ripening mutation on cell wall biochemistry and gene expression during tomato fruit development and ripening. Plant Physiol 136:4184-4197. doi:10.1104/pp.104.045765
- Giovannoni JJ (2004) Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. Plant Cell 16 S170-180. doi:10.1105/tpc.019158
- Giovannoni JJ (2007) Fruit ripening mutants yield insights into ripening control. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:283-289. doi:10.1016/ j.pbi.2007.04.008
- Giovannoni JJ, Noensie EN, Ruezinsky DM, Lu X, Tracy SL, Ganal MW, Martin GB, Pillen K, Alpert K, et al (1995) Molecular genetic analysis of the *ripening-inhibitor* and *non-ripening* loci of tomato. Mol Gen Genet 248:195-206
- Hileman LC, Sundstrom JF, Litt A, Chen M, Shumba T, Irish VF (2006) Molecular and phylogenetic analyses of the MADS-box gene family in tomato. Mol Biol Evol 23:2245-2258. doi:10.1093/molbev/msl095
- Hoering U (2012) Lost harvests Food losses and food insecurity: extent and causes, impacts and possible solutions. FDCL-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, p 24
- Kabelka E, Franchino B, Francis DM (2002) Two loci from *Lycopersicon hirsutum* LA407 confer resistance to strains of *Clavibacter* michiganensis subsp. michiganensis. Phytopathology 92:504-510. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2002.92.5.504
- Kasso M, Bekele A (2016) Post-harvest loss and quality deterioration of horticultural crops in Dire Dawa Region, Ethiopia. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jssas.2016.01.005
- Kerr EA (1958) Mutations of chlorophyll retention in ripe fruit. Rep Tomato Genet Coop 8:22
- Kim HJ, Lee HR, Hyun JY, Hong DO, Won DC, Harn CH (2013) A SCAR marker linked to *RIPENING-INHIBITOR* in tomato. Korean J Breed Sci 45:104-108. doi:10.9787/kjbs.2013.45.2.104
- Kopeliovitch E, Rabinowitch H, Mizrahi Y, Kedar N (1981) Mode of inheritance of *alcobaca*, a tomato ripening mutant. Euphytica 30:223-225

Kramer M, Sanders R, Bolkan H, Waters C, Sheeny RE, Hiatt WR (1992) Postharvest evaluation of transgenic tomatoes with reduced

levels of polygalacturonase: processing, firmness and disease resistance. Postharvest Biol Technol 1:241-255. doi:10.1016/0925-5214(92)90007-C

- Lanahan MB, Yen HC, Giovannoni JJ, Klee HJ (1994) The Never ripe mutation blocks ethylene perception in tomato. Plant Cell 6:521-530
- Lincoln JE, Fischer RL (1988) Regulation of gene expression by ethylene in wild-type and *rin* tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) fruit. Plant Physiol 88:370-374
- Manning K, Tor M, Poole M, Hong Y, Thompson AJ, King GJ, Giovannoni JJ, Seymour GB (2006) A naturally occurring epigenetic mutation in a gene encoding an SBP-box transcription factor inhibits tomato fruit ripening. Nat Genet 38:948-952. doi:10.1038/ ng1841
- Martel C, Vrebalov J, Tafelmeyer P, Giovannoni JJ (2011) The tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR interacts with promoters involved in numerous ripening processes in a COLORLESS NONRIPENING-dependent manner. Plant Physiol 157:1568-1579. doi:10.1104/pp.111.181107
- Ng TJ (1976). Genetic and physiological characterization of the *rin* and *nor* non-ripening mutants of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). PhD Diss., Purdue University, IN, USA
- Pék Z, Helyes L (2010) Color changes and antioxidant content of vine and postharvest-ripened tomato fruits. HortScience 45:466-468
- Perveen R, Suleria HA, Anjum FM, Butt MS, Pasha I, Ahmad S (2015) Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) carotenoids and lycopenes chemistry; Metabolism, absorption, nutrition, and allied health claims. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 55:919-929. doi:10.1080/10408398 .2012.657809
- Phan NT, Sim SC (2017) Genomic tools and their implications for vegetable breeding. Hortic Sci Technol 35:149-164. doi:10.12972/ kjhst.20170018
- Raiola A, Rigano MM, Calafiore R, Frusciante L, Barone A (2014) Enhancing the health-promoting effects of tomato fruit for biofortified food. Mediat Inflamm 2014:139873. doi:10.1155/2014/139873
- Robinson RW, Tomes M (1968) Ripening inhibitor: a gene with multiple effect on ripening. Rep Tomato Genet Coop 18:36-37
- Sablani SS, Opara LU, Al-Balushi K (2006) Influence of bruising and storage temperature on vitamin C content of tomato fruit. J Food Agric Environ 4:54-56
- Seymour GB, Chapman NH, Chew BL, Rose JK (2013) Regulation of ripening and opportunities for control in tomato and other fruits. Plant Biotechnol J 11:269-278. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2012.00738.x
- Thompson AJ, Tor M, Barry CS, Vrebalov J, Orfila C, Jarvis MC, Giovannoni JJ, Grierson D, Seymour GB (1999) Molecular and genetic characterization of a novel pleiotropic tomato-ripening mutant. Plant Physiol 120:383-389
- Tigchelaar EC, Tomes ML, Kerr EA, Barman RJ (1973) A new fruit ripening mutant, non-ripening (nor). Rep Tomato Genet Coop 23:33
- Tomato Genome Consortium (2012) The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. Nature 485:635-641. doi:10.1038/nature11119
- Truong HTH, Kim S, Tran HN, Nguyen TTT, Nguyen LT, Hoang TK (2015) Development of a SCAR marker linked to bacterial wilt (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) resistance in tomato line Hawaii 7996 using bulked-segregant analysis. Hortic Environ Biotechnol 56:506-515. doi:10.1007/s13580-015-1050-9
- Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012) Primer3-new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40:e115. doi:10.1093/nar/gks596
- Veerappan K, Jung HJ, Hwang I, Kho KH, Chung MY, Nou IS (2016) Sequence variation in *SIMYB12* is associated with fruit peel color in pink tomato cultivars. Hortic Environ Biotechnol 57:274-279. doi:10.1007/s13580-016-0041-9
- Vicente AR, Saladié M, Rose JKC, Labavitch JM (2007) The linkage between cell wall metabolism and fruit softening: looking to the future. J Sci Food Agric 87:1435-1448. doi:10.1002/jsfa.2837
- Vrebalov J, Ruezinsky D, Padmanabhan V, White R, Medrano D, Drake R, Schuch W, Giovannoni J (2002) A MADS-Box gene necessary for fruit ripening at the tomato *Ripening-Inhibitor (Rin)* locus. Science 296:343-346. doi:10.1126/science.1068181
- Wang W, Cai J, Wang P, Tian S, Qin G (2017) Post-transcriptional regulation of fruit ripening and disease resistance in tomato by the vacuolar protease *SIVPE3*. Genome Biol 18:47. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1178-2
- Yuan XY, Wang RH, Zhao XD, Luo YB, Fu DQ (2016) Role of the tomato *Non-ripening* mutation in regulating rruit quality elucidated using iTRAQ protein profile analysis. PLoS ONE 11:e0164335. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164335
- Zapata PJ, Guillén F, Martínez-Romero D, Castillo S, Valero D, Serrano M (2008) Use of alginate or zein as edible coatings to delay postharvest ripening process and to maintain tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon Mill*) quality. J Sci Food Agric 88:1287-1293. doi:10.1002/jsfa.3220